

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/050,680	PECORINI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Callie E. Shosho	1714

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(3) _____.

(2) James Proscia.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19,21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability, Part II above.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Proscia.

The amendment to claim 19 was made to clarify that it is the concentrate comprising the copolymer and the colorant that is added to the polyester and that it is the concentrate, not the copolymer, that does not comprise a low melt viscosity resin. The amendment to claim 21 was made in light of applicants comments on page 8 of the amendment filed 9/13/04 that new claim 21 corresponds to present claim 4 written in independent form. As seen from claim 4, in the polyester composition, the concentrate is added to a base polyester not a base polymer.